S.N	Name of the State	Name of the Project	1998-99	1999-00	2000-
18.	Uttar Pradesh	Residential School, Non-Residential School & Educational Complex	30.20	41.87	39.04
		Ashram Schools in Tribal Sub-Plan Areas	175.44	0	0
19.	West Bengal	Residential School, Non-Residential School & Educational Complex	25.04	62.33 0	70.10
		Model Residential School under Article 275(1) of Constitution of India	300.00		0
20.	Gujarat	Educational Complex	17.42	15.98	11.25
		Ashram Schools in Tribal Sub-Plan Areas	175.29	83.17	0
21.	Rajasthan	Educational Complex	33.25	8.96 0	1.65
		Model Residential School Under Article 275(1) of Constitution of India	300.00 *		500.00
22.	Tripura	Educational Complex	0	1.40	0
		Model Residential School Under Article 275(1) of Constituion of India	100.00	0	0
		Ashram Schools in Tribal Sub-Plan Areas	85.44	50.00	0

Financial assistance for development of Scheduled Tribes

3020. SHRI SOLIPETA RAMACHANDRA REDDY: Will the Minister of TRIBAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) The details of financial assistance given by Government for development of Scheduled Tribes during the last three years, State-wise;

(b) The details of expenditure incurred during the period, State-wise; and

(c) the funds allocated and released during the year 2001-2002 for the development of Scheduled Tribes, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OFTRIBAL AFFAIRS (SHRI JUAL ORAM): (a) and (b) The Ministry of Tribal Affairs allocates funds to States/ UTs under two Schemes, *i.e.* under Article 275 (1) of the Constitution and SCA to TSP. Funds for other Schemes are released on the basis of proposals received. The details of funds released under these two Schemes and expenditure reported by the State Governments is enclosed as Statement I and Statement II *(See* below).

(c) The allocation and release under these two schemes in 2001-2002 is enclosed as Statement III.

Statement I

A.R. and ER. of Grants under Art. 275(1) of the Constitution

(Rs. in lakh)

S.N.	States/UTs	1998-99		1999-2000		2000-01	
		AR	ER	AR	ER	AR	ER
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	A.P.	607.0	175.0	613.9	182.4	460.5	125.0
2.	Assam	210.0	0.0	420.2	0.0	443.4	—
3.	Bihar	483.5	1124.2	967.2	0.0	0.0	—
4.	Gujarat	450.0	0.0	900.7	0.0	2250.0	800.0
5.	HP.	16.0	16.0	31.9	31.9	99.5	—
6.	J & K	63.5	63.5	124.1	124.1	190.5	—
7.	Karnataka	140.0	308.5	280.0	204.4	420.0	209.8
8.	Kerala	123.5	0.0	46.9	72.4	0.0	—
9.	M.P.	2125.0	702.2	2251.0	0.0	2057.8	920.0
10.	Maharashtra	534.5	1128.2	1069.8	1652.8	1603.5	1245.0
II.	Manipur	69.0	23.0	92.4	176.7	650.0	—
12.	Orissa	514.0	514.0	1027.9	1027.9	2957.1	792.7
13.	Rajasthan	700.0	800.0	800.3	300.0	1700.0	—
14.	Sikkim	9.8	24.4	13.3	113.4	327.9	—
15.	Tamil Nadu	42.0	0.0	83.9	0.0	63.0	—
16.	Tripura	162.5	331.0	124.7	124.7	287.5	219.0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
17.	Uttar Pradesh	21.0	21.0	42.1	28.1	9.6	_____
18.	West Bengal	578.5	278.5	556.8	0.0	835.5	—
19.	Arunachal Pradesh	60.0	0.0	80.5	381.5	376.6	—
20.	Meghalaya	166.5	156.3	221.9	42.0	477.0	—
21.	Mizoram	107.5	0.0	95.5	0.0	72.0	—
22.	Nagland	316.3	316.3	155.1	51.7	950.0	—
23.	Jharkhand	—	—	—	1320.20	0.0	—
24.	Chhattisgarh	—	—	—	1530.6	0.0	—
25.	Uttaranchal	—	—	—	46.9	—	—
	TOTAL:	7500.0	5982.0	10000.0	4514.0	19128.9	4311.5

Abbreviations:

AR—Amount Released

ER—Expenditure Reported

Statement II

Release and Expenditure ofSCA to TSP

(Rs. in lakh)

S.N.	Name of States/UTs	1998-99		1999-2000		2000-01	
		AR	ER	AR	ER	AR	ER
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	A.P	2728.5	2728.5	2182.9	2182.9	2182.94	727.50
2.	Assam	2069.6	2152.0	2443.5	1940.0	2443.50	—
3.	Bihar	0.0	4362.1	4779.1	0.0	1711.06	—
4.	Gujarat	3689.7	3249.6	3140.0	3123.8	3139.98	3646.00
5.	HP.	689.4	557.7	514.1	750.6	514.05	—
6.	J&K	739.2	500.3	776.4	726.6	776.38	605.92
7.	Karnataka	686.6	505.4	616.1	1094.5	616.13	410.74
8.	Kerala	408.2	208.1	218.6	208.0	218.63	—
9.	MP.	9476.2	9647.2	9797.2	11571.3	6257.12	6631.52
10.	Maharashtra	3532.2	2767.4	2974.6	3727.0	2974.57	3200.00
II.	Manipur	779.5	943.4	608.7	651.5	608.65	—
12.	Onssa	5911.9	5000.0	5698.3	7660.1	5188.40	4931.72

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
13.	Rajasthan	3475.7	2620.6	2915.2	3355.5	2915.24	2667 51
14.	Sikkim	60.0	96.1	86.3	86.4	86.28	—
15.	T.N.	295.9	295.9	258.3	258.3	258.27	—
16.	Tripura	977.8	791.8	831.6	1067.6	831.57	—
17.	U.P.	57.5	112.9	99.9	79.5	41.83	71.83
18.	W.B.	2222.1	2222.1	1759.4	1759.4	1759.40	1519.00
19.	Jharkhand	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3422.62	—
20.	Chhatisgarh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3695.36	3647.36
21.	Ultaranchal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.02	—
22.	A & N	133.9	123.8	255.4	135.3	233.90	129.80
23.	D & Diu	66.1	10.7	44.6	20.6	66.10	35.68
	TOTAL:	38000.0	38895.7	40000.0	40398.7	40000.00	28224.58

Abbreviations:

AR—Amount Released ER—
Expenditure Reported

Statement III

Funds Released during 2001-02

SI. No.	States/Years	Special Central Assistance to Tribal Sub-Plan		Grant under Art. 275(1) oftneCansJitui ion	
		Allocation	Release	Allocation	Release
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	2732.8	910.93	1535	—
2.	Arunachal Pradesh			200	—
3.	Assam	3058.99	1019.66	1050	—
4.	Bihar	556.56	185.52	209.35	—
5.	Chhattisgarh	4626.18	1542.06	2088.57	600
6.	Gujarat	3930.91	1310.3	2250	2250
7.	Himachal Pradesh	643.53	514.82	80	58.5
8.	J&K	971.94	777.55	317.5	—
9.	Jharkhand	5870.24	1956.75	2208.15	—
10.	Karnataka	771.33	257.11	700	350
11.	Kerala	273.7	91.23	117.5	—
12.	Madhya Pradesh	7833.22	2611.07	3536.43	372.21
13.	Maharashtra	3723.83	1241.28	2672.5	2004
14.	Manipur	761.96	253.99	230	—

1	2	3	4	5	6 555
15.	Meghalaya				—
16.	Mizoram	—	—	240	—
17.	Nagaland	—	—	387.5	—
18.	Orissa	6495.3	2165.1	2570	—
19.	Rajasthan	3649.56	1216.52	2000	1000
20.	Sikkim	108.02	36.01	32.5	—
21.	Tamil Nadu	323.32	107.77	210	—
22.	Tripura	1041.03	347.01	312.5	150
23.	Uttaranchal	92.91	74.33	78.05	—
24.	Uttar Pradesh	32.1	10.7	26.95	—
25.	West Bengal	2202.57	734.19	1392.5	—
26.	Delhi	—	—	—	—
27.	A & N Islands	200.85	66.95	—	—
28.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	—	—	—	—
29.	Daman & Diu	99.15	33.05	—	—

Rs. 50 Crore have been earmarked for Residential Schools.

Caving in of approach roads of Sarita Vihar flyover

3021. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the approach roads on both sides of Sarita Vihar flyover, a project taken up by DDA, caved in within a couple of months of its inauguration due to sub-standard material;

(b) if so, the outcome of the inquiry made by Government into the use of the poor quality of material; and

(c) the action taken by Government in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) No, Sir. Delhi Development Authority has reported that the approach roads on both sides of Sarita Vihar flyover did not cave in. However, minor heaving was observed on the bitumenous surface due to excessive rain.

(b) Central Road Research Institute in its preliminary report has intimated that heaving of surface was due to poor drainage. The pavement composition at failure section were found to be as per design specification. No distress either in